

Contents

Purpose.....	1
Applicable governance instruments.....	2
Procedure	3
1. Timetable for promotions	3
2. Eligibility	3
3. Briefing sessions	3
4. Promotion to Level B	3
5. Preparing an application for promotion to Levels C, D and E	3
6. Promotion to Levels C, D and E – reports relating to applications.....	4
7. Committees constituted to assess applications for promotion	6
8. Conflicts of interest and unconscious bias	8
9. Assessment of applications for promotion	8
10. Interviews	9
11. Recommendations for promotion.....	9
12. Notification of outcomes.....	9
13. Review of recommendations for promotion.....	9
14. Out of cycle applications for promotion.....	10
15. Roles and key responsibilities.....	10
16. Periodic review	11
Related procedures	12
Versions	12

Purpose

This procedure outlines the process to promote academic staff to Levels B, C, D and E including:

- application requirements;
- conflicts of interest;
- committees constituted to assess applications for promotion;
- assessment of applications;
- recommendations for promotion;
- review of recommendations for promotion; and
- roles and responsibilities associated with academic promotion applications.

This procedure applies to:

- all academic staff who are applying for promotion to academic Levels B, C, D or E, whether ongoing, fixed term, full-time or fractional;
- the applicant's Academic Lead / Deputy Vice-Chancellor/ Head of Division, Head of Academic Unit;

Definitions and acronyms can be found at: <https://www.utas.edu.au/policy/policy-definitions>

Related policy and procedures can be found at: <https://www.utas.edu.au/policy>

- Independent External Assessors; and
- members of the committees constituted to assess applications for promotion.

This procedure does not apply to casual or sessional academic staff.

For the purposes of this procedure:

- Academic Leads are the UET member with accountability for the academic areas of Health; Sciences and Engineering; and, Arts, Law and Education. For those staff from Academic Units outside of these areas, the Academic Lead is as designated by the Deputy Vice-Chancellor Academic in consultation with that individual.
- A reference to Academic Unit Head is a reference to a Division Section Head where relevant.

Applicable governance instruments

Instrument	Section	Principles
<i>General Delegations Ordinance</i>	8. Delegations for appointment, remuneration and termination of University staff	
<i>Academic Promotions, Honorary and Adjunct Titles Policy</i>	1. Academic Promotion	1.1-1.6
<i>Compliance Policy</i>	2. Conflicts of Interest	2.1-2.2
<i>Behaviour Policy</i>	All sections	
<i>University of Tasmania Staff Agreement -2021-2025</i>		7.2

Procedure

1. Timetable for promotions

In November, or as near as practicable, the Chair of the University Academic Promotions Committee will announce the timetable for academic staff promotion applications for the following year. This will include the closing dates for specific stages of the promotion process such as submission of applications, the dates of briefing sessions and formal notice of any changes to the relevant policy and procedure since the previous year.

The timetable for promotions will be published on the University's [Academic Promotions intranet site](#).

There will typically be two rounds of promotions in any given calendar year.

2. Eligibility

To be eligible to apply for academic promotion, a staff member must:

- discuss their interest and readiness to apply for promotion with their Head of Academic Unit and seek feedback;
- inform their Head of Academic Unit subsequently if they intend applying, so the Head of Academic Unit can submit Independent External Assessor information by the required due date; and
- submit their application online by the closing date.

Fixed-term staff are eligible to apply for promotion and should seek the advice of their Head of Academic Unit.

Staff on probation are not prohibited from applying for promotion, although normally, staff will complete their academic probation process through which their employment is confirmed, prior to applying for promotion. In any circumstances where a staff member seeks promotion prior to their confirmation through probation, they must notify their Head of Academic Unit and seek feedback.

In no circumstances should academic promotion be viewed as confirmatory of probation, or as a basis for conversion from fixed term to continuing status: these are separate processes.

3. Briefing sessions

Prior to the deadline for submission of applications, the Chair of the University Academic Promotions Committee will hold briefing sessions to provide guidance and information (including in relation to respective roles and responsibilities) to potential applicants and Heads of Academic Unit.

4. Promotion to Level B

Promotion to Level B will take place on the recommendation of the relevant Head of Academic Unit approved by the Academic Lead and notified to the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic). A template for making the recommendation is on the University's [Academic Promotions intranet site](#).

5. Preparing an application for promotion to Levels C, D and E

- Application forms are available from the [Academic Promotions intranet site](#). Applicants must follow the directions in the guidance information on the intranet site to ensure their applications have the required format and length and include all mandatory documents.
- Applications provide evidence that the applicant has sustained a high level of performance commensurate with the level to which they seek promotion. Applications must provide evidence of the applicant's excellence, scholarship, and leadership, as well as demonstrating the significance and impact of applicant's achievements, across these four areas of academic activity:

- a) teaching;
 - b) research, scholarship, and innovation¹;
 - c) leadership and internal engagement; and
 - d) community engagement.
- The same activity will generally not be accepted as evidence under more than one area of academic activity.
 - Guidance on the types of evidence that might be used in an application to demonstrate the quality of an applicant's activities in these four areas is published on the University's [Academic Promotions intranet site](#). The examples of evidence are, however, neither exhaustive nor prescriptive. Applicants are not expected to include every evidence type listed and may include other relevant evidence. Evidence across the four areas of academic activity will also relate to an applicant's workload.
 - Academic staff holding fractional appointments will satisfy promotion criteria to the same level as full-time staff but at a volume which reflects the fraction of their appointment.
 - Applicants for promotion to all levels are encouraged to provide evidence of leadership appropriate to that level, whether of a formal or informal kind, which enhances teaching, research, community and stakeholder engagement, student outcomes and the strategic priorities of the University.
 - Applicants should also explain the impact of factors which have affected their career trajectory such as an interrupted work history, diverse career pathways or relevant personal circumstances.

Achievement of minimum expectations alone will not justify promotion.

Applicants may update their applications with new evidence about outcomes, achievement and impact up until 10 working days prior to the scheduled meeting of the relevant promotions committee.

Promotion committees will evaluate the performance of a candidate throughout their entire career. However, the primary focus will be on the candidate's achievements since their appointment to the University of Tasmania, since their most recent promotion, or over the last five years, whichever period is shortest.

There is no minimum time requirement between a candidate's appointment to the University, or their last promotion, and the submission of a promotion application. Each application will be assessed on its individual merits, adhering to the parameters outlined above. However, it is recommended that an applicant assesses their readiness for applying for promotion in discussion with their relevant supervisor, and/or Head of Academic Unit.

It is the responsibility of the applicant to ensure that their application is submitted in the correct format and is complete. Incomplete applications will not be considered by the relevant promotions committee unless there are exceptional circumstances, beyond the control of the applicant. Applicants who are unable to provide all mandatory documents must inform the Secretariat to the University Academic Promotions Committee prior to the closing date; otherwise, applications with missing documentation will not be assessed.

6. Promotion to Levels C, D and E – reports relating to applications

Advice for Heads of Academic Unit and Division Section Heads is contained in the [guidance information](#) published on the [Academic Promotions intranet site](#).

¹ 'innovation' refers to innovation and enterprise creation activities, for more information see the *Table of Potential Activities to Evidence* on the University's [Academic Promotions intranet site](#).

6.1. Head of Academic Unit written report to accompany an application

The relevant Head of Academic Unit or Division Section Head will provide a written report objectively assessing the applicant's performance, including progression over time, and evaluating their activities and achievements relative to disciplinary norms. The report will:

- confirm the applicant's workload allocation;
- comment on the accuracy and quality of their stated levels of excellence, achievement and impact in the areas of academic activity;
- outline the mentoring provided to the applicant to ready themselves for promotion and prepare their application;
- detail the extent to which the applicant exhibits behaviours consistent with the University's values (<http://www.utas.edu.au/ourvalues>);
- gauge the applicant's ability to perform at the academic level to which they are seeking promotion; and
- include information on the applicant's contributions to the Academic Unit, Division, and University.

The Head of Academic Unit is able to seek input from the Head of Discipline or equivalent if necessary to complete the report.

If the Head of Academic Unit is the applicant for promotion, the Academic Lead will provide the written report. If the Division Section Head is the applicant, the Head of Division will write the report.

For applications for promotion to Levels D and E only, the Academic Lead will provide short statement of support (or lack of support) to accompany the Head of Academic Unit report. This will be no longer than 200 words and signed by the Academic Lead.

The Head of Academic Unit should disclose their report (as well as the Academic Lead's statement for Level D and E applications) to the applicant before the application is submitted. In the rare case it is necessary, applicants may provide written clarification on the reports.

6.2. Independent External Assessors reports to promotions committees

The relevant promotions committee seeks impartial and objective advice and a balanced view of the applicant's achievements and worthiness for promotion from Independent External Assessors (IEAs).

- It is not the role of an IEA to advocate for the applicant; rather, they provide a report on the applicant's performance across the relevant areas of academic activity, based on disciplinary norms for the level to which the applicant is seeking promotion.
- IEAs will be asked to provide the committee with contextual advice to assist in evaluating an applicant's performance against disciplinary and national and international sector norms.
- For applicants for promotion to Level C, the Head of Academic Unit, in consultation with the Head of Discipline or equivalent, will nominate the names of two IEAs who are not employed at the University of Tasmania and declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the applicant. If possible, one of those nominated should be of international standing.
- For applicants for promotion to Levels D and E, the Head of Academic Unit, in consultation with the Head of Discipline or equivalent, will nominate the names of three IEAs who are not employed by the University of Tasmania and declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the applicant. At this level, it is expected that all IEAs will be of international standing. For Level E, at least one of those nominated must be located internationally.
- For applicants in the Academic and Research Divisions, the relevant Head of Section will nominate IEAs.
- Gender diversity is encouraged in the mix of nominated IEAs.
- Assessors are nominated on a form available on the [Academic Promotions intranet site](#).

IEA nominations should not be discussed or shared with the applicant. The promotions committee will contact IEAs directly for reports – Heads of Academic Unit or Division Section Heads and applicants must not seek their comments.

Applicants for promotion to Levels C, D and E in initial discussions with a Head of Academic Unit about their intention to apply, may indicate when and why any specific potential IEA constitutes a conflict of interest, or where, based on specific previous experience, an assessment from them may raise concerns of apprehended bias or undue prejudice. The request of disqualification of any potential IEA must be based only on direct experience. Applicants should also record their request of disqualification in their submitted application.

- The Chair of the relevant promotions committee may identify additional nominations for IEAs, after discussion with senior colleagues in the discipline, if required to better reflect balance (gender, geographical, by institution or discipline) amongst all IEAs or to ensure the IEAs do not have a conflict of interest with the applicant.
- Advice for Heads of Academic Unit and Division Section Heads on selecting and nominating IEAs is contained in the guidance information published on the [Academic Promotions intranet site](#).
- A member of a promotions committee cannot act as an IEA for an applicant.
- Promotions committees have the right to solicit further written advice from any persons with relevant expertise who may be internal or external to the University.

7. Committees constituted to assess applications for promotion

Applications for promotion to Level C will be assessed by an academic area level committee.

Applications for promotion to Levels D and E will be assessed by the University Academic Promotions Committee.).

7.1. University Academic Promotions Committee (UAPC)

The UAPC is responsible for:

- seeking reports from Independent External Assessors for Level D and E applicants;
- considering all relevant evidence, including written information from any source it deems appropriate;
- making recommendations for the Vice-Chancellor's approval as to who to promote and to what level; and
- formulating feedback to unsuccessful applicants.

The University Academic Promotions Committee is comprised of Level E academic staff members and has the following membership:

Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic) or nominee	<i>Ex officio – Chair</i>
Chair of Academic Senate or nominee	<i>Ex officio</i>
Pro Vice-Chancellor (Learning & Teaching Performance or nominee)	<i>Ex officio – Deputy Chair</i>
Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research) or nominee	<i>Ex officio</i>
Professors representing the breadth of disciplinary expertise across Academic Units	<i>Ex officio</i>
A Regional Pro-Vice Chancellor	

Additional members co-opted for periods of up to three years at the discretion of the Chair, to ensure an appropriate balance of gender and expertise among members	
Vice-Chancellor	May choose to sit as a full member of the committee for consideration of applications for promotion to Level E
Secretariat	Academic Division

The Committee should:

- have stable membership across each round of promotions;
- be comprised of members with expertise in learning and teaching, research, and community engagement; and
- base membership on the organisational units established by the Vice-Chancellor.

Membership of the Committee for those not *ex officio* will be for three years and renewable. The four members of the Professoriate will be appointed by the Deputy Vice-Chancellor Academic to represent the breadth of disciplinary expertise across Academic Units. To ensure continuity of experience and expertise, half the Professoriate membership will be considered for renewal in rotation, every eighteen months.

Five members of the University Academic Promotions Committee, including at least one of the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic) or nominee, Chair of Academic Senate, Pro Vice-Chancellor Learning & Teaching Performance or Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research), constitutes a quorum.

7.2. Academic Area Promotions Committees

Academic areas will have a promotions committee to consider applications for promotion to Level C.

These committees are responsible for:

- seeking reports from Independent External Assessors for Level C applicants;
- considering all relevant evidence, including written evidence from any source it deems appropriate;
- making recommendations for the Vice-Chancellor's approval as to who to promote and to what level; and
- formulating feedback to unsuccessful applicants.

Academic area promotions committees have the following membership:

Academic Lead	<i>Ex officio (Chair)</i>
3 members of the Professoriate representing a mix of academic disciplines	<i>Ex officio</i>
A Level E academic from outside the academic area who is a current academic area member of the University Academic Promotions Committee.	<i>Ex officio</i>
Secretariat	From Academic Division

Should an academic area promotions committee be unable to achieve a minimum 40/60 gender balance, additional members may be co-opted to achieve that outcome.

Applications for promotion to Level C from the Academic and Research Divisions will be assessed by the most appropriate academic area committee.

The academic area committees should:

- have stable membership across the year's rounds of promotions;
- be comprised of members with expertise in learning and teaching, research, and leadership and engagement;
- base membership on the organisational units established by the Vice-Chancellor; and
- have a term of membership of three years for those not *ex officio*.

Four members of the committee, including at least the Academic Lead and a member from outside the academic area, constitutes a quorum.

8. Conflicts of interest and unconscious bias

Members of promotions committees will act fairly and without bias. In accordance with the University Council's resolution on gender balance on committees, promotions committees will have a minimum 40/60 gender balance.

Applicants and members of a promotions committee must bring to the attention of the Chair any instances where they believe that a conflict of interest, discrimination or bias may affect the assessment of applications, so the Chair will determine the appropriate action.

In all circumstances, promotions committees will adhere to a minimum 40/60 gender balance and where conflicts may impact this, the Chair may excuse an additional member of the committee of the differing gender.

Where there is a significant conflict of interest, including where a member of a promotions committee is the supervisor/performance manager of an applicant, the relevant member(s) of the promotions committee will absent themselves from the discussion and determination of that application.

In situations where there may be a conflict-of-interest between an applicant and a Head of Academic Unit or Discipline Leader or Division Section Head, for example, due to a personal, professional or financial relationship or similar, this should be declared to the Chair of the relevant Chair, no later than two weeks prior to the close of applications. The Chair will then determine a conflict-of-interest management plan taking advice as appropriate.

9. Assessment of applications for promotion

Promotions committees will base their decisions on the evidence provided in the application and the report of the relevant Head of Academic Unit/Division or equivalent and, where applicable, at interview.

Promotions committees are not constituted as committees of discipline experts. It is the role of the applicant, the Academic Lead where required, and Independent External Assessors, to provide the committees with sufficient information and evidence on disciplinary norms and expectations to allow the application to be assessed against them.

Submitted workload allocation information will be used by the committees to help them understand the nature of the applicant's responsibilities. Workload information should reflect the period since appointment, since last promotion or over the last five years, whichever is shortest.

10. Interviews

Applicants for promotion to academic Level D and E will be interviewed by the University Academic Promotions Committee. This is an opportunity for applicants to add richness to their case for promotion and demonstrate their capacity to communicate meaningfully about their work and articulate their vision for their role and contribution to the University as an Associate Professor or Professor. The interview supplements the written application and is an opportunity for interaction between the committee members and the applicant.

Applicants are encouraged to seek advice and support to develop the skills required at interview. (Additional interview guidance is provided on the [Academic Promotions intranet site](#)).

The relevant Head of Academic Unit will attend in person while the applicant is being interviewed. If the Head of Academic Unit is the applicant for promotion, the Academic Lead will attend.

The Chair may elect to conduct interviews via videoconference or similar technology.

11. Recommendations for promotion

The promotions committees recommend for the Vice-Chancellor's approval which applicants should be promoted to Levels C-E and to which academic level the staff member should move. As an alternative to promotion, the committees may recommend accelerated progression within the current level.

In exceptional circumstances, the committees may recommend accelerated promotion of an applicant beyond the first step of the next academic level.

12. Notification of outcomes

Applicants will receive formal notice of the outcome of their application. It is intended that promotions and accelerated progressions will be effective from the formal notification to the applicant from the Vice-Chancellor.

Unsuccessful applicants will be offered an opportunity to receive feedback from the Chair (or delegated member/s) of the relevant promotions committee. The applicant's Head of Academic Unit will be present to ensure a consistent understanding of the committee's reasons and be able to support the applicant making a future application for promotion. The Secretary of the relevant promotions committee will also attend.

13. Review of recommendations for promotion

Applicants who were not successful in their promotion application may request a reconsideration of the promotion committee's decision, but only on the grounds of a procedural error. A procedural error refers to a failure to adhere to the *Academic Staff Promotions Procedure* or the *Academic Promotions, Honorary and Adjunct Titles Policy*.

To initiate a review, the applicant must submit a request to Academic.Promotions@utas.edu.au within 28 days of receiving formal notification of their unsuccessful application. This request should clearly outline the details of the alleged procedural error and provide any supporting evidence.

Before submitting the request for review, the applicant is required to consult with the Chair of the committee that assessed their application.

Upon receipt of the request, the Vice-Chancellor will appoint an independent senior academic staff member to conduct an initial assessment. This assessment will determine if there is a *prima facie* case for the alleged procedural error. The assessor will be a member of the University Academic Promotions Committee for promotions to Level C, or a senior academic staff member for promotions to Level D and E.

If the initial assessment finds no *prima facie* case for a procedural error, the applicant will be notified, and no further action will be taken.

If a *prima facie* case for a procedural error is established, the Vice-Chancellor will constitute an Academic Promotions Review Committee (APRC) to further assess the request for review. The APRC will comprise:

For promotion to Level C: A member of the University Academic Promotion Committee as Chair, a senior academic staff member appointed by the Vice-Chancellor, and a senior elected member of Academic Senate.

For promotion to Level D and E: Two senior academic staff members appointed by the Vice-Chancellor (one nominated as Chair), and a senior elected member of Academic Senate.

The APRC must maintain a minimum gender balance of 2:1. Any conflicts of interest must be declared, and a substitute member may be co-opted if necessary.

The APRC will request a written report from the Chair of the relevant promotions committee concerning the application under review and the alleged procedural error.

Following its review, the APRC will prepare a written report for the Vice-Chancellor outlining whether a procedural error occurred and, if so, whether it likely influenced the outcome. The APRC may recommend that the Vice-Chancellor uphold or overturn the original decision or remit the application to the relevant promotion committee to address the procedural error.

Once made, the applicant and the Chair of the relevant promotions committee will be notified of the Vice-Chancellor's decision.

The Vice-Chancellor's decision arising from a review is final.

14. Out of cycle applications for promotion

In exceptional circumstances an 'out of cycle' application may be considered as a University strategy to retain exceptional staff who have been offered an appointment elsewhere.

A Head of Academic Unit or equivalent may request the Chair of the University Academic Promotions Committee to urgently consider an out of cycle application. The relevant Academic Lead/Head of Division must endorse the request.

Further information about the documents required for an out of cycle application is contained in the guidance information published on the [Academic Promotions intranet site](#).

If the Chair is satisfied the exceptional circumstances warrant an out of cycle application, a sub-committee of the University Academic Promotions Committee will be formed to assess the applicant.

The relevant Academic Lead / Head of Division, Head of Academic Unit and member of academic staff will be formally advised of the outcome and the promoted staff member listed in the appropriate public acknowledgement by the Vice-Chancellor.

There is no review process for promotions decisions made on out of cycle applications.

15. Roles and key responsibilities

15.1. The Applicant

- Discuss plans and readiness for applying for promotion with the relevant supervisor, Head of Discipline or equivalent and/or Head of Academic Unit as early as possible. Those seeking promotion to academic Levels D and E are recommended to also discuss plans with the relevant Academic Lead / Head of Division as early as possible.
- Work with the relevant Head to establish a mentor to assist in developing the application.

- Seek appropriate advice, guidance, support, and feedback in preparing to apply for promotion, including attending a briefing session for potential applicants.
- Follow the *Academic Promotions, Honorary and Adjunct Titles Policy* and *Academic Staff Promotions Procedure* and the advice published on the [Academic Promotions intranet site](#) when writing the application to ensure it complies with all requirements.

15.2. Head of Academic Unit or Division Section Head, and Head or Professor of Discipline or equivalent

- Identify qualified staff and encourage them to apply for promotion.
- Provide clear and consistent guidance, information, and feedback to staff in relation to their preparedness for promotion and assist them to plan for making an application.
- Ensure applicants are actively mentored and coached in relation to readiness for promotion and preparing their application.
- Consult appropriately with senior colleagues in relation to supporting applicants and providing the written report on their application.
- Attest in the written report to the accuracy of the applicant's claims and to what extent the applicant satisfies the requirements for promotion.
- Follow the *Academic Promotions, Honorary and Adjunct Titles Policy* and *Academic Staff Promotions Procedure* and the advice published on the [Academic Promotions intranet site](#) when writing their report.
- Support unsuccessful applicants if they seek feedback from the promotions committee and attend the meeting with them. Provide them with subsequent advice and assistance to overcome the reasons for their lack of success.

15.3. Heads of Academic Unit or Division Section Heads in addition:

- For applicants seeking promotion to Levels C, D and E, nominate Independent External Assessors in accordance with the advice published on the [Academic Promotions intranet site](#).
- Clearly identify any past or present connection between the applicant and the nominated Independent External Assessors.
- Attend promotions committee interviews with Academic Unit/Division applicants seeking promotion to Level D and E.

15.4. Academic Lead

- Chair the relevant academic area Promotions Committee in accordance with the University's values of equal opportunity, integrity, transparency, and procedural fairness.
- Encourage qualified academic staff, from all disciplines of the academic area to seek promotion.
- For applications for promotion to Levels D and E only, provide a short statement (no longer than 200 words) of support (or lack of support) to accompany the Head of Academic Unit report.

16. Periodic review

Periodically, and at least every two years, the University Academic Promotions Committee (UAPC) will consider data relating to applications and their outcomes, in the context of evidence-based University and sector norms, to ensure that key principles of transparency, consistency and equity have been achieved in practice.

In the interests of continuous improvement, the Chair of the UAPC will consider comparable data for each academic area to assure the University of the broad comparability and fairness of devolved processes.

A short report on these reviews will be provided to the Vice-Chancellor. In addition, the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic) may provide comment in a broad overview to Academic Senate about trends over time, ensuring de-identification of disciplines and individuals.

Related procedures

Conflicts of Interest and Gifts and Benefits Declarations Procedure

Versions

Versions of this procedure and the details of their approval are as per the following table:

Version	Action	Approved By	Business Owner/s	Approval Date
Version 1	Approved	Provost	Provost	16 December 2020
Version 2	Approved	Provost	Provost	10 June 2021
Version 3	Minor amendment approved (position title changes)	Director Governance and Compliance	Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic)	16 May 2022
Version 4	Approved	Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic)	Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic)	27 February 2023
Version 5	Approved	Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic)	Pro Vice-Chancellor (Academic Excellence)	15 April 2024
Version 6	Approved	Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic)	Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic)	27 May 2025

* Currency of procedures is confirmed annually. For the details of when this procedure was last confirmed as current, visit the [version history page](#).